

# **Exhibit 2**

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

In Re: AUTOMOTIVE PARTS ANTITRUST LITIGATION	:	12-md-02311
	:	
	:	Honorable Marianne O. Battani
In Re: Wire Harness Cases	:	
	:	
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	:	
	:	
All Wire Harness Actions	:	2:12-cv-00100
	:	

**NOTICE OF INTENT TO SERVE SUBPOENAS TO PRODUCE  
DOCUMENTS AND INFORMATION IN A CIVIL ACTION**

TO: All Counsel of Record

Notice is hereby given that Defendants DENSO Corporation and DENSO International America, Inc. intend to subpoena the following to obtain the documents and information described in the attached subpoenas *duces tecum*:


DAKKOTA INTEGRATED SYSTEMS, L.L.C.  
c/o ANDRA M. RUSH  
1875 HOLLOWAY DRIVE  
HOLT, MI 48842

FAURECIA INTERIOR SYSTEMS, INC.  
c/o THE CORPORATION COMPANY  
30600 TELEGRAPH ROAD  
SUITE 2345  
BINGHAM FARMS, MI 48025

MAGNA US HOLDING, INC.  
c/o CORPORATION SERVICE COMPANY  
2711 CENTERVILLE ROAD  
SUITE 400  
WILMINGTON, DE 19808

VISTEON CORPORATION  
c/o THE CORPORATION COMPANY  
30600 TELEGRAPH ROAD  
SUITE 2345  
BINGHAM FARMS, MI 48025

DATED: April 3, 2015

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Patrick J. Carome', is written over a horizontal line.

Patrick J. Carome  
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE  
AND DORR LLP  
1875 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20006  
Telephone: (202) 663-6000  
Facsimile: (202) 663-6363  
patrick.carome@wilmerhale.com

*Counsel for Defendants DENSO Corporation  
and DENSO International America, Inc.*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on April 3, 2015, I caused the foregoing **NOTICE OF INTENT TO SERVE SUBPOENAS TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS AND INFORMATION IN A CIVIL ACTION** to be served on the following by e-mail:

<b>Interim Liaison Counsel for the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs</b>	
David H. Fink Darryl Bressack FINK & ASSOCIATES LAW 100 West Long Lake Road, Suite 111 Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304 dfink@finkandassociateslaw.com dbressack@finkandassociateslaw.com	
<b>Interim Co-Lead Counsel for the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs</b>	
Steven A. Kanner William H. London Michael E. Moskovitz FREED KANNER LONDON & MILLEN LLC 2201 Waukegan Road, Suite 130 Bannockburn, IL 60015 skanner@fkmlaw.com blondon@fkmlaw.com mmoskovitz@fkmlaw.com	Joseph C. Kohn William E. Hoese Douglas A. Abrahams Craig H. Hillwig KOHNSWIFT, & GRAF, P.C. One South Broad Street, Suite 2100 Philadelphia, PA 19107 jkohn@koh Swift.com whoese@koh Swift.com dabrahams@koh Swift.com chillwig@koh Swift.com
Gregory P Hansel Randall B. Weill Michael S. Smith PRETI, FLAHERTY, BELIVEAU & PACHIOS LLP One City Center PO Box 9546 Portland, ME 04112-9546 ghansel@preti.com rweill@preti.com msmith@preti.com	Eugene A. Spector William G. Caldes Jonathon M. Jagher Jeffrey L. Spector Rachel E. Kopp SPECTOR ROSEMAN KODROFF & WILLIS, P.C. 1818 Market Street, Suite 2500 Philadelphia, PA 19103 espector@srkw-law.com bcaldes@srkw-law.com jjagher@srkw-law.com jspector@srkw-law.com rkopp@srkw-law.com

<b>Interim Liaison Counsel for the Auto Dealer Plaintiffs</b>	
Gerard V. Mantese David Hansma Brendan H. Frey Joshua P. Lushnat MANTESE HONIGMAN & WILLIAMSON, P.C. 1361 E. Big River Road Troy, MI 48083 gmantese@manteselaw.com dhansma@manteselaw.com bfrey@manteselaw.com jlushnat@manteselaw.com	
<b>Interim Co-Lead Counsel for the Auto Dealer Plaintiffs</b>	
Don Barrett David McMullan Brian Herrington BARRETT LAW GROUP, P.A. PO BOX 927 404 Court Square Lexington, MS 39095 dbarrett@barrettlawgroup.com dmcmullan@barrettlawgroup.com bherrington@barrettlawgroup.com  Shawn M. Raiter LARSON KING, LLP 30 East Seventh Street, Suite 2800 St. Paul, MN 55101 sraiter@larsonking.com	Jonathon W. Cuneo Joel Davidow Victoria Romanenko CUNEO GILBERT & LADUCA, LLP 507 C. Street, NE Washington, DC 20002 jonc@cuneolaw.com joel@cuneolaw.com vicky@cuneolaw.com
<b>Interim Liaison Counsel for the End-Payor Plaintiffs</b>	
E. Powell Miller (P39487) Adam Schnatz (P72049) THE MILLER LAW FIRM, P.C. 950 West University Drive, Suite 300 Rochester, MI 48307 epm@millerlawpc.com ats@millerlawpc.com	
<b>Interim Co-Lead Counsel for the End-Payor Plaintiffs</b>	
Hollis Salzman Bernard Persky William V. Reiss ROBINS KAPLAN LLP	Terrell W. Oxford Warren T. Burns SUSMAN GODFREY LLP 901 Main Street, Suite 5100

601 Lexington Avenue, Suite 3400 New York, NY 10022 HSalzman@RobinsKaplan.com BPersky@RobinsKaplan.com WReiss@RobinsKaplan.com  Frank C. Damrell, Jr. Steven N. Williams Adam J. Zapala Elizabeth Tran COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY, LLP San Francisco Airport Office Center 840 Malcom Road, Suite 200 Burlingame, CA 94010 fdamrell@cpmlegal.com swilliams@cpmlegal.com azapala@cpmlegal.com etran@cpmlegal.com	Dallas, TX 75202 toxford@susmangodfrey.com wburns@susmangodfrey.com  Marc M. Seltzer Steven G. Sklaver SUSMAN GODFREY LLP 1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 950 Los Angeles, CA 90067 mseltzer@susmangodfrey.com ssklaver@susmangodfrey.com
<b>Attorneys for Ford Motor Company</b>	
Hector Torres Cindy C. Kelly Edward E. McNally Sarah Gibbs Leivick KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES & FRIEDMAN LLP 1633 Broadway New York, NY 10019 htorres@kasowitz.com CKelly@kasowitz.com emcnally@kasowitz.com sleivick@kasowitz.com	Eric J. Pelton (P40635) Theodore R. Oppewall (P31374) Ryan D. Bohannon (P73394) KIENBAUM OPPERWALL HARDY & PELTON, P.L.C. 280 North Old Woodward Avenue, Suite 400 Birmingham, MI 48009 epelton@kohp.com topperwall@kohp.com rbohannon@kohp.com
<b>Attorneys for City of Richmond/Public Entity Plaintiffs</b>	
Rodger D. Young (P22652) Jaye Quadrozzi (P71646) YOUNG & ASSOCIATES 27225 Stansbury Boulevard, Suite 125 Farmington Hills, MI 48334 efiling@youngpc.com Quadrozzi@youngpc.com Young@youngpc.com  Louise H. Renne Steven Cikes RENEE SLOAN HOLTZMAN SAKAI LLP	Robert S. Green James Robert Noblin GREEN & NOBLIN, P.C. 700 Larkspur Landing Circle, Suite 275 Larkspur, CA 94393 rsg@classcounsel.com gnecf@classcounsel.com  Karen L. Morris Patrick F. Morris R. Michael Lindsey

350 Sansome Street, Suite 300 San Francisco, CA 94104 Irenne@publiclawgroup.com scikes@publiclawgroup.com  Charles E. Tompkins WILLIAMS MONTGOMERY & JOHN LTD. 233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6100 Chicago, IL 60606 cet@willmont.com	MORRIS AND MORRIS LLC 4001 Kennett Pike, Suite 300 Wilmington, DE 19807 kmorris@morrisandmorrislaw.com pmorris@morrisandmorrislaw.com rmlindsey@morrisandmorrislaw.com
<b>Interim Counsel for the Truck and Equipment Dealer Proposed Class Plaintiffs</b>	
Andrew R. Sperl Wayne A. Mack J. Manly Parks DUANE MORRIS LLP 30 South 17th Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 ARSperl@duanemorris.com WAMack@duanemorris.com JMParks@duanemorris.com	
<b>Attorneys for Defendants Chiyoda Manufacturing Corporation and Chiyoda USA Corporation</b>	
Amanda M. Fielder (P70180) WARNER NORCROSS & JUDD LLP 900 Fifth Third Center 111 Lyon Street NW Grand Rapids, MI 49503 afielder@wnj.com  William R. Jansen (P36688) WARNER NORCROSS & JUDD LLP 2000 Town Center, Suite 2700 Southfield, MI 48075 wjansen@wnj.com	Steven M. Kowal Michael E. Martinez Lauren N. Norris Lauren B. Salins K&L GATES LLP 70 W. Madison, Suite 3100 Chicago, IL 60602 steven.kowal@klgates.com michael.martinez@klgates.com lauren.norris@klgates.com lauren.salins@klgates.com
<b>Attorneys for Defendants Fujikura Ltd. and Fujikura Automotive America, LLC</b>	
James L. Cooper Michael A. Rubin Laura Cofer Taylor Katherine Clemons ARNOLD & PORTER LLP 555 Twelfth Street, NW Washington, DC 20004 james.cooper@aporter.com	Joanne Geha Swanson (P33594) Fred Herrmann (P49519) Matthew L. Powell (P69186) KERR, RUSSELL AND WEBER, PLC 500 Woodward Avenue, Suite 2500 Detroit, MI 48226 jswanson@kerr-russell.com fherrmann@kerr-russell.com

michael.rubin@aporter.com laura.taylor@aporter.com katherine.clemons@aporter.com	mpowell@kerr-russell.com
<b>Attorneys for Defendants Furukawa Electric Co., Ltd. and American Furukawa, Inc.</b>	
Larry S. Gangnes LANE POWELL PC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4200 P.O. Box 91302 Seattle, WA 98111 gangnesl@lanepowell.com	Craig D. Bachman Kenneth R. Davis II Darin M. Sands Masayuki Yamaguchi LANE POWELL PC ODS Tower 601 SW Second Avenue, Suite 2100 Portland, OR 97204 bachmanc@lanepowell.com davisk@lanepowell.com sandsd@lanepowell.com yamaguchim@lanepowell.com
<b>Attorneys for Defendants G.S. Electech, Inc., G.S.W. Manufacturing, Inc., and G.S. Wiring Systems, Inc.</b>	
Donald M. Barnes Jay L. Levine John C. Monica, Jr. Molly S. Crabtree PORTER WRIGHT MORRIS & ARTHUR LLP 1900 K Street, NW, Suite 1110 Washington, DC 20006 dbarnes@porterwright.com jlevine@porterwright.com jmonica@porterwright.com mcrabtree@porterwright.com	
<b>Attorneys for Defendants Leoni Wiring Systems, Inc. and Leonische Holding Inc.</b>	
Michael F. Tubach O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP Two Embarcadero Center, 28th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 mtubach@omm.com	Michael R. Turco (P48705) BROOKS WILKINS SHARKEY & TURCO PLLC 401 South Old Woodward, Suite 400 Birmingham, MI 48009 turco@bwst-law.com
<b>Attorneys for Defendants Mitsubishi Electric Corporation, Mitsubishi Electric US Holdings, Inc., and Mitsubishi Electric Automotive America, Inc.</b>	
Michael T. Brody Gabriel A. Fuentes Charles B. Sklarsky	Gary K. August Jamie J. Janisch ZAUSMER, KAUFMAN, AUGUST &



Terrence J. Truax Daniel T. Fenske JENNER & BLOCK LLP 353 N. Clark Street Chicago, IL 60654 mbrody@jenner.com gfuentes@jenner.com csklarsky@jenner.com ttruax@jenner.com dfenske@jenner.com	CALDWELL, P.C. 31700 Middlebelt Road, Suite 150 Farmington Hills, MI 48334 gaugust@zkac.com jjanisch@zkac.com
<b>Attorneys for Defendants Sumitomo Electric Industries, Ltd., Sumitomo Wiring Systems, Ltd., Sumitomo Electric Wiring Systems, Inc., K&amp;S Wiring Systems, Inc., Sumitomo Electric Wintec America, Inc., and Sumitomo Wiring Systems (U.S.A.) Inc.</b>	
Marguerite M. Sullivan LATHAM & WATKINS 555 Eleventh Street NW, Suite 1000 Washington, DC 20004 marguerite.sullivan@lw.com  William H. Horton (P31567) GIARMARCO, MULLINS & HORTON, P.C. 101 West Big Beaver Road, Tenth Floor Troy, MI 48084-5280 bhorton@gmhlaw.com	Daniel M. Wall LATHAM & WATKINS 505 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94111 dan.wall@lw.com
<b>Attorneys for Defendants Tokai Rika Co., Ltd and TRAM, Inc. d/b/a Tokai Rika U.S.A.</b>	
David F. DuMouchel (P25658) George B. Donnini (P66793) BUTZEL LONG 150 West Jefferson, Suite 100 Detroit, MI 48226 dumouched@butzel.com donnini@butzel.com	W. Todd Miller BAKER & MILLER PLLC 2401 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Suite 300 Washington, DC 20037 tmiller@bakerandmiller.com
<b>Attorneys for Defendants Yazaki Corporation and Yazaki North America, Inc.</b>	
John M. Majoras Michael R. Shumaker Carmen G. McLean Tiffany D. Lipscomb-Jackson JONES DAY 51 Louisiana Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20001-2113 jmmajoras@jonesday.com mrshumaker@jonesday.com cgmclean@jonesday.com	Michelle K. Fischer Stephen J. Squeri JONES DAY North Point 901 Lakeside Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114 mfischer@jonesday.com sjsqueri@jonesday.com

tdlipscombjackson@jonesday.com	
--------------------------------	--

DATED: April 3, 2015

By: /s/ Joshua S. Press

Joshua S. Press

WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE

AND DORR LLP

1875 Pennsylvania Avenue

Washington, DC 20006

Telephone: (202) 663-6000

Facsimile: (202) 663-6363

joshua.press@wilmerhale.com

*Counsel for Defendants DENSO Corporation  
and DENSO International America, Inc.*

WILMERHALE

April 6, 2015

Patrick J. Carome

+1 202 663 6610 (t)  
+1 202 663 6363 (f)  
patrick.carome@wilmerhale.com

Dakkota Integrated Systems, L.L.C.  
Attn: Records Custodian  
1875 Holloway Drive  
Holt, MI 48842

Dear Records Custodian:

We are attorneys for DENSO Corporation and DENSO International America, Inc. who are among the named defendants in the “*Wire Harness Cases*,” which are part of the *In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation*. These cases were consolidated and are now pending in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division.

Enclosed is a subpoena for electronically-stored data, documents, and information (“ESI”), and for documents in the absence of ESI, that has been served on you or your registered agent. We also enclose a copy of the Stipulation and Protective Order Governing Production and Exchange of Confidential Information entered by the Court in the *Wire Harness Cases*. If you are represented by counsel, please provide a copy of this letter and enclosed documents to your counsel.

The subpoena requests ESI from your databases and documents from your files and records that are directly related to the allegations and defenses of the parties in the *Wire Harness Cases*. We have served the subpoena to obtain discovery that will be used by all Defendants, and the data and materials you produce in response to the subpoena will be provided to all parties.

The subpoena requests that all responsive ESI and documents be produced within 21 days. However, once you and/or your counsel have had an opportunity to review the requests, we are available to speak with you or your counsel at your convenience to discuss an appropriate extension of the date for you to comply with the subpoena, if necessary, and any ways in which the requests can be clarified or revised to minimize the burden and expense to you in complying. You may direct such inquiries to me at (202) 663-6610.


Please be advised that the enclosed Protective Order governs all ESI and documents produced in connection with the subpoena and the *Wire Harness Cases*. As a non-party, you are entitled to designate responsive data and materials as “Confidential” or “Highly Confidential” for protection under this Court Order. Please sign and date the enclosed Declaration of Records Custodian and return it along with the responsive ESI and documents.

WILMERHALE

Dakota Integrated Systems, L.L.C.  
April 6, 2015  
Page 2

We look forward to hearing from you or your counsel at your earliest convenience.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Patrick Carome", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Patrick Carome

Enclosures

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

In Re: AUTOMOTIVE PARTS  
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Master File No. 12-md-02311  
Honorable Marianne O. Battani

In Re: Wire Harness Cases

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

## All Wire Harness Actions

2:12-cv-00100

**SUBPOENA TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS, INFORMATION, OR OBJECTS  
OR TO PERMIT INSPECTION OF PREMISES IN A CIVIL ACTION**

TO: DAKKOTA INTEGRATED SYSTEMS, L.L.C.  
c/o ANDRA M. RUSH  
1875 HOLLOWAY DRIVE  
HOLT, MI 48842

☒ **Production:** **YOU ARE COMMANDED** to produce at the time, date, and place set forth below the following documents, electronically stored information, or objects, and to permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of the material:

**See Attachment A**

Place:	Dakkota Integrated Systems 1875 Holloway Dr. Holt, MI 48842	Date and Time:	May 1, 2015 10:00 AM EDT
--------	---	----------------	-----------------------------

☐ *Inspection of Premises:* **YOU ARE COMMANDED** to permit entry onto the designated premises, land, or other property possessed or controlled by you at the time, date, and location set forth below, so that the requesting party may inspect, measure, survey, photograph, test, or sample the property or any designated object or operation on it.

Place:		Date and Time:	
--------	--	----------------	--

---

The following provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 are attached – Rule 45(c), relating to the place of compliance; Rule 45(d), relating to your protection as a person subject to a subpoena; and Rule 45(e) and (g), relating to your duty to respond to this subpoena and the potential consequences of not doing so.


DATE: April 6, 2015

DAVID J. WEAVER, CLERK OF COURT

OR

---

*Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk*



*Attorney's signature*

---

The name, address, e-mail address, and telephone number of the attorney representing DENSO Corporation and DENSO International America, Inc., who issues or requests this subpoena, are:

Patrick J. Carome  
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP  
1875 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20006  
Telephone: (202) 663-6610  
Facsimile: (202) 663-6363  
patrick.carome@wilmerhale.com

**Notice to the person who issues or requests this subpoena**

A notice and a copy of the subpoena must be served on each party in this case before it is served on the person to whom it is directed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(4).

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

*(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)*

I received this subpoena for *(name of individual and title, if any)* \_\_\_\_\_

on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ I served the subpoena by delivering a copy to the named person as follows: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_; or

☐ I returned the subpoena unexecuted because: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Unless the subpoena was issued on behalf of the United States, or one of its officers or agents, I have also tendered to the witness the fees for one day's attendance, and the mileage allowed by law, in the amount of \$\_\_\_\_\_.

My fees are \$\_\_\_\_\_ for travel and \$\_\_\_\_\_ for services for a total of \$\_\_\_\_\_

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Server's signature*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Printed name and title*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Server's address*

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.:

**(c) Place of Compliance.**

**(1) For a Trial, Hearing, or Deposition.** A subpoena may command a person to attend a trial, hearing, or deposition only as follows:

(A) within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; or

(B) within the state where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person, if the person

(i) is a party or a party's officer; or

(ii) is commanded to attend a trial and would not incur substantial expense.

**(2) For Other Discovery.** A subpoena may command:

(A) production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things at a place within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; and

(B) inspection of premises at the premises to be inspected.

**(d) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena; Enforcement.**

**(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions.** A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The court for the district where compliance is required must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction—which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees—on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

**(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.**

(A) *Appearance Not Required.* A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.

(B) *Objections.* A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing, or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises—or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:

(i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the court for the district where compliance is required for an order compelling production or inspection.

(ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

**(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.**

(A) *When Required.* On timely motion, the court for the district where

compliance is required must quash or modify a subpoena that:

(i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;

(ii) requires a person to comply beyond the geographical limits specified in Rule 45(c);

(iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or

(iv) subjects a person to undue burden.

(B) *When Permitted.* To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the court for the district where compliance is required may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:

(i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information; or

(ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party.

(C) *Specifying Conditions as an Alternative.* In the circumstances described in Rule 45(d)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:

(i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and

(ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

**(e) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.**

**(1) Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information.** These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:

(A) *Documents.* A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.

(B) *Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified.* If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.

(C) *Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form.* The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.

(D) *Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information.* The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

**(2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.**

(A) *Information Withheld.* A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:

(i) expressly make the claim; and

(ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.

(B) *Information Produced.* If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information under seal to the court for the district where compliance is required for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

**(g) Contempt.**

The court for the district where compliance is required—and also, after a motion is transferred, the issuing court—may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena or an order related to it.



**ATTACHMENT A**

**REQUEST NO. 1:** For each purchase by You or on Your behalf of a Wire Harness Product, data (or in the absence of data, documents) sufficient to show: (a) the WHP purchased (with as much identifying information as possible, such as name, manufacturer and part number); (b) quantity purchased; (c) date(s) and address(es) of purchase, delivery and payment; (d) seller of WHP (name and address); (e) net purchase price and taxes paid for the WHP; (f) discounts, incentives and any other adjustments that resulted in such net price; (g) any freight/shipping costs You or seller paid; (h) Auto and/or Part for which the WHP was intended to be a component (with as much identifying information as possible, such as OEM, platform, model and year for Autos, and name, description and part number for Parts); and (i) if the WHP was purchased pursuant to a RFQ, the terms and recipients of the RFQ.

**REQUEST NO. 2:** For each sale by You or on Your behalf of a WHP or Part, data (or in the absence of data, documents) sufficient to show: (a) the WHP or Part sold (with as much identifying information as possible, such as name, description and part number); (b) quantity sold; (c) date(s) and address(es) of sale, delivery and payment; (d) purchaser (name and address); (e) net sale price and taxes paid for the WHP or Part; (f) discounts, incentives and any other adjustments that resulted in such net sale price; (g) any freight/shipping costs You or purchaser paid; (h) Auto or other product for which the WHP or Part was intended to be a component (with as much identifying information as possible, such as OEM, platform, model and year for Autos, and manufacturer, name and description for other products); (i) if the WHP or Part was sold pursuant to a RFQ, the terms of the RFQ; (j) Your costs (direct and indirect, actual and/or estimated) of manufacturing and selling each WHP or Part; and (k) Your profit on manufacturing and selling each WHP or Part.

**REQUEST NO. 3:** Each Directed Buying or Directed Sourcing agreement or Target Agreement concerning the purchase or sale of a WHP or Part.

**REQUEST NO. 4:** Number, code or data dictionaries or other documents (to the extent not produced in response to Request Nos. 1-2) sufficient to identify, describe and explain the codes, numbers, terms and fields used in the data and documents produced in response to Request Nos. 1-2.

**REQUEST NO. 5:** Documents (to the extent not produced in response to Request Nos. 1-3) sufficient to disclose, identify, describe, and explain Your processes; policies; strategies; methods; formulas; factors; guidelines; and sales, price or margin targets used in (a) purchasing or acquiring WHPs, and (b) selling WHPs or Parts, including but not limited to (i) how the prices paid and terms and conditions of purchase or sale were determined, and (ii) whether prices and terms were negotiated in any way, and, if so, how prices and terms changed and the reasons for those changes, (c) what factors were considered in deciding what WHPs and Parts to purchase or acquire and from whom, or to sell and to whom, and (d) any proposed or actual changes in such processes, policies, strategies, methods, formulas, or factors and the reasons for such changes.

## DEFINITIONS

1. “Automobile” and “Auto” mean a motor vehicle that is principally used for transporting from one to eight passengers and is designed to operate primarily on roads, typically with four wheels, including sedans, pickup trucks, and sport utility vehicles.

2. “Directed Buying” and “Directed Sourcing” mean the purchase or acquisition of a Wire Harness Product or Part at the direction of, or by agreement with, an OEM or a supplier of Wire Harness Products or Parts to an OEM, pursuant to terms negotiated by such OEM or supplier.

3. “OEM” means an automobile original equipment manufacturer and its predecessors, successors, subsidiaries, departments, divisions, and/or affiliates, and their respective officers, directors, current and former employees, agents, representatives, attorneys, or anyone else acting or who has acted on its or their behalf.

4. “Part” means an automobile part or other product in which a Wire Harness Product was installed, incorporated or a component.

5. “RFQ” means a request to purchase of any kind, including an invitation to bid or submit a proposal, and a formal request for quotation.

6. “Target Agreement” means a contract or agreement between an OEM and a manufacturer or supplier of a Wire Harness Product or Part in which the OEM and manufacturer or supplier agree to jointly design and develop a Wire Harness Product or Part that meets a given set of performance, quality, price and delivery specifications, criteria or targets.

7. “Wire Harness MDL” means the Class Cases, Miscellaneous Cases, and Individual Cases, as defined in the Electronic Case Management Protocol Order, ECF No. 753, 2:12-md-02311-MOB-MKM (filed July 3, 2014), entered in the master case *In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation*, Case No. 12-md-02311, pending in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division, that are associated now or in the future with the Wire Harness Lead Case, Case No. 2:12-cv-00100, which is part of the master case *In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation*, Case No. 12-md-02311.

8. “Wire Harness Product(s)” and “WHP(s)” mean automotive wire harnesses, and the following components of such wire harnesses: automotive electrical wiring, automotive wire harnesses, automotive wiring connectors, automotive wiring terminals, cable bonds, electronic control units, fuse boxes, high voltage wiring, junction blocks, lead wire assemblies, power distributors, relay boxes, and speed sensor wire assemblies.

9. “You,” “Your,” and “Your Company” mean DAKKOTA INTEGRATED SYSTEMS, L.L.C and its predecessors, successors, subsidiaries, departments, divisions, and/or affiliates, including without limitation any organization or entity that *is or was subject to its management, direction, or control*, together with its and their present and former directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, or any person, entity or organization acting or *purporting to act on its behalf*.

## INSTRUCTIONS

1. Attached hereto is a copy of the Stipulation and Protective Order Governing Production and Exchange of Confidential Information, ECF No. 200, 2:12-md-02311-MOB-MKM (filed July 10, 2012), entered by the Court in the master case *In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation*, Case No. 12-md-02311, pending in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division, that governs documents and information produced in the Wire Harness MDL. You may designate documents for protection under the Protective Order.

2. The relevant time period for each Request is (i) January 1, 1998 through and including October 31, 2011, excepting data extracted from electronic databases or data summaries for which the relevant time period is January 1, 1998 through and including December 31, 2013, or (ii) such broader time period(s) that the parties in any of the Class Cases, Miscellaneous Cases, and/or Individual Cases in the Wire Harness MDL agree, or the Court rules applies to such discovery from the parties, prior to Your production of documents and data in response to this subpoena.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

---

**IN RE: AUTOMOTIVE PARTS  
ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

---

**Master File No. 12-MD-02311**

---

**PRODUCTS(S):**

**WIRE HARNESS SYSTEMS**

---

**THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**All Actions**

---

**STIPULATION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER GOVERNING THE PRODUCTION AND  
EXCHANGE OF CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION**

WHEREAS, the Plaintiffs and Defendants in the Wire Harness Systems Direct Purchaser Actions, Automobile Dealer Actions, and End-Payor Actions may seek discovery of documents, information, or other materials that contain non-public, confidential, competitively sensitive, or proprietary information of another party or of a third party;

WHEREAS, the parties have stipulated and agreed to terms, and jointly moved this Court for entry of the following Protective Order, and the Court having found that, in light of the nature of the non-public, confidential, competitively-sensitive, proprietary information that may be sought in discovery, good cause exists for entry of the Protective Order,

IT IS ORDERED that this Protective Order (“Order”) shall be entered pursuant to Rule 26(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule 26.4 of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan limiting the disclosure and use of certain discovered information in connection with the prosecution or defense of:

- a. the consolidated direct and indirect purchaser Wire Harness Systems actions included in MDL No. 2311;
- b. any individual direct or indirect purchaser case included in MDL No. 2311;
- c. any case designated as a direct or indirect purchaser “tagalong” case to MDL No. 2311 or designated as a “related case” to any direct or indirect purchaser case in MDL No. 2311;

- d. any subsequent cases which are subsequently transferred to this Court for coordinated proceedings with the cases included in MDL No. 2311; and
- e. any appeals of the cases described in categories (a) through (d) above

(collectively the “Litigation”).

1. All information produced or discovered in this Litigation and designated as “CONFIDENTIAL” or “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS ONLY” shall be used solely for the prosecution or defense of this Litigation, unless that information is or has become publicly available without a breach of the terms of this Order.

2. To preserve the legitimate proprietary and privacy interests of sources of information, this Order establishes a procedure for disclosing Protected Information (defined in Paragraph 4 below) to the parties in this Litigation, imposes obligations on persons receiving Protected Information to protect it from unauthorized use or disclosure, and establishes a procedure for challenging confidentiality designations. This Order applies only to information furnished by parties and non-parties that is not otherwise publicly available.

3. This Order shall govern all documents, the information contained therein, and all other information produced or disclosed during discovery in this Litigation whether revealed in a document, deposition, other testimony, multimedia audio/visual file such as a voice and video recording, discovery response or otherwise, including but not limited to any copies, notes, abstracts or summaries of the foregoing materials (“Discovery Material”), by any party or nonparty in this Litigation (the “Producing Party”) to any other party (the “Receiving Party”) when the foregoing materials are designated using the procedures set forth herein. This Order is binding upon the parties to this Litigation, as well as their respective attorneys, agents, representatives, officers and employees, and others as set forth in this Order. Nothing in this Order, however, prevents any use by a Producing Party of the Discovery Material that it produces.

4. Subject to the protections provided in Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this Order covers information that any Producing Party designates as “CONFIDENTIAL” or “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS ONLY” pursuant to Paragraph 5 (collectively referred to as “Protected Information”). In designating information as “CONFIDENTIAL” or “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS ONLY,” a party will make such designation only as to that information that it in good faith believes contains information meeting the respective definitions set forth below.

5. **Confidentiality Designations.**

a. The designation “CONFIDENTIAL” shall be limited to information that the Producing Party has determined, in good faith, contains non-public, confidential, proprietary or commercial information that is not readily ascertainable through lawful means by the public or the receiving party or is subject to privacy protection under federal, state, local, or any applicable foreign law (“Confidential Information”).

b. The designation “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS ONLY” shall be limited to information which a Producing Party believes to be highly sensitive and/or proprietary information, including but not limited to documents or information reflecting, containing, or derived from current confidential trade secrets, research, development, pricing, production, cost, marketing, or customer information, the disclosure of which, even limited to the restrictions placed on the information designated as “CONFIDENTIAL” under this Order, could compromise and/or jeopardize the Producing Party’s competitive business interests (“Highly Confidential Information”).

c. Any party to this Litigation and any third party may designate as CONFIDENTIAL or HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS ONLY documents or information produced by another party or a third party if that Discovery Material (i) either originated from the designating party or third party (or was generated on the designating or third party’s behalf), or (ii) contains the designating party’s Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information, in which case the designating party shall be deemed a Producing Party for purposes of this Order. Failure to designate any documents or information as CONFIDENTIAL or HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS ONLY pursuant to this paragraph shall not constitute a waiver of any party’s right to make such designation at a later time.

#### 6. **Means of Designating Protected Information.**

Documents, material, or information may be designated CONFIDENTIAL or HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS ONLY in the following ways:

a. *Documents.* A Producing Party shall, if appropriate, designate specific, hard copy and non-natively produced electronic documents as (i) CONFIDENTIAL by marking the first page and each subsequent page of the produced copy or image of such document containing any Confidential Information with the legend “CONFIDENTIAL”; or as (ii) HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS ONLY by marking the first page and each subsequent page of the produced copy or image of such document containing any Highly Confidential Information with the legend “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS ONLY.” All documents produced or disclosed during discovery in this Litigation shall be identified by Bates number and, to the extent practical, the appropriate designation shall be placed near the Bates number. The impracticality or inadvertent failure to designate each page of a document as CONFIDENTIAL or HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS ONLY pursuant to this paragraph shall not constitute a waiver of the confidential nature of the document or page(s).

b. *Discovery Responses.* A Producing Party shall, if appropriate, designate discovery responses, including but not limited to interrogatory answers and responses to requests for admissions, as Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information by placing the following legend on each page of the discovery responses containing Confidential or Highly Confidential Information: “CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER” and / or “CONTAINS HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION



SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER.”

c. *Depositions.* In the case of depositions and the information contained in depositions (including exhibits), counsel for a Producing Party or witness shall designate portions of the transcript (including exhibits) which contain Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information as CONFIDENTIAL or HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS ONLY by making a statement to that effect on the record at the deposition or by letter within 30 days of receipt of the final deposition transcript or copy thereof (or written notification that the transcript is available). The entire deposition transcript (including exhibits) shall be treated as Highly Confidential Information under this Order until the expiration of the 30-day period for designation. The following legend shall be placed on the front of all versions of the original deposition transcript and each copy of the transcript containing Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information: “CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER” or “CONTAINS HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER.” If all or part of a videotaped deposition is designated as CONFIDENTIAL or HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS ONLY, the videocassette, other videotape container or DVD shall be labeled with the appropriate legend provided for in Paragraph 5.

d. *Computerized Material.* To the extent that information is produced in a form rendering it impractical to label (including electronically stored information produced on electronic, magnetic or other computer readable media), the Producing Party may designate such information as CONFIDENTIAL or HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS ONLY by cover letter or by affixing to the media containing the Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information a label containing the appropriate legend provided for in Paragraph 5 above. Whenever a Receiving Party reduces such computerized material designated as CONFIDENTIAL or HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS ONLY to hard-copy form, the Receiving Party shall mark the hard-copy form with the appropriate legend provided for in Paragraph 5 above. Whenever any Confidential or Highly Confidential computerized material is copied into another form, the Receiving Party shall also mark those forms with the appropriate legend provided for in Paragraph 5 above.

e. *Restricting Access.* To the extent that any Receiving Party or counsel for the Receiving Party creates, develops or otherwise establishes on any digital or analog machine-readable device, recording media, computers, discs, networks, or tapes, or maintains for review on any electronic system material that contains information designated as CONFIDENTIAL or HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS ONLY that Receiving Party and/or its counsel must take all necessary steps to ensure that access to the electronic system and/or the media containing such information is properly restricted to those persons who, by the terms of this Order, may have access to Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information.

f. *Inspections.* Documents, materials, or other information to be inspected shall be treated as HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS ONLY during inspection. Such documents or other materials or information that are later duplicated by or for the Receiving Parties shall be stamped, if designated, CONFIDENTIAL or HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS ONLY.



7. **Filing of Protected Information.**

A party that seeks to file any pleading, motion, brief, memoranda or other paper that contains Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information must comply with Civil Local Rule 5.3 and this Order shall serve as a stipulated order for the purposes of Civil Local Rule 5.3(b). All documents, materials, or other information containing Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information that are filed with the Court shall be filed under seal according to the following procedures:

a. Consistent with Local Rule 5.3, only those portions of filings with the Court containing Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information shall be filed under seal. Wherever possible, Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information shall be filed electronically, in accordance with the Court's ECF procedures. Otherwise, Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information filed under seal shall be placed in sealed envelopes labeled with the title to the appropriate case in this Litigation, the name of the pleading, the words "FILED UNDER SEAL," and a statement substantially in the following form:

"This envelope is sealed pursuant to Order of the Court dated \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_, and contains [Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information] filed in this case by [name of Party] and is not to be opened or the contents thereof to be displayed or revealed to any non-Court personnel except by order of the Court."

Any pleading or other paper required to be filed under seal pursuant to this Paragraph shall also bear the legend "FILED UNDER SEAL" on the cover page of the document. The envelope shall not be opened without further order of the Court except by persons authorized to have access to Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information pursuant to Paragraphs 9 and/or 10, as appropriate, who shall return the information to the Clerk in a sealed envelope. Regardless of whether a submission is filed under seal electronically or manually, a full copy of any such submission shall be provided directly to chambers, marked "Judge's Copy" and "Contains [Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information] Subject to Protective Order" and may be opened by the presiding District Judge, his/her law clerks, and other Court personnel without further order of the Court. Further, subject to Paragraphs 9 and/or 10, as appropriate, a full copy of any such sealed submission shall be served upon counsel for the parties. Such service may be effected by e-mail.

b. If any party objects to identified portions of the materials remaining under seal, it shall state its objections in a faxed or electronically-delivered letter to the appropriate counsel of record. The interested parties shall promptly meet and confer to attempt to resolve those objections and, if they cannot be resolved, shall promptly tender those objections to the Court for resolution.

c. Each document manually filed under seal may be returned to the party which filed it (1) if no appeal is taken, within ninety days after a final judgment is rendered, or (2) if an appeal is taken, within thirty days after the mandate of the last reviewing court which

disposes of this Litigation in its entirety is filed. If the party that filed a sealed document fails to remove the document within the appropriate time frame, the Court may dispose of the document in accordance with Local Rule 5.3(e), or take any other action with respect to the document it deems appropriate.

d. Notwithstanding the foregoing, a party is not required to file a document under seal if the Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information contained or reflected in the document was so designated solely by that party.

**8. Use of Protected Information.**

a. In no event shall Confidential or Highly Confidential Information be used for any business, competitive, personal, private, public, or other purpose, except as permitted by law.

b. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this Order shall be deemed to limit or restrict any Producing Party from using its own documents, materials, information, or its own Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information for any purpose. The Producing Party may withdraw or modify any designation it has made.

c. The use of Confidential Information and/or Highly Confidential Information in hearings shall be subject to the following:

i. Subject to Paragraph 8(c)(ii), a party may refer to Confidential Information and/or Highly Confidential Information in public proceedings. The use of such information at trial shall be addressed in the final pre-trial Order, except that the words “CONFIDENTIAL” and “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS ONLY” shall be removed from documents before such documents are used at trial. The removal of those words shall not affect the protections afforded to the information itself.

ii. Any party that reasonably believes it will disclose Confidential Information and/or Highly Confidential Information in any public proceeding before the Court shall make its best efforts to inform the Court and the Producing Party at least five (5) business days in advance of actual disclosure but in any event with advance notice sufficient to allow the Producing Party to raise the issue with the Court, so that the Court can decide what precautions are necessary to protect the Producing Party’s Confidential/Highly Confidential Information, including specifying how exhibits containing such information shall be filed to maintain their confidentiality, whether and how exhibits containing such information may be shown to witnesses or otherwise used in open court, and whether persons not identified in Paragraphs 9 or 10, as appropriate, shall be excluded from specific portions of the proceedings.

iii. The Producing Party may designate portions of transcripts of public proceedings as CONFIDENTIAL or HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS ONLY, but any such designations must be made within thirty (30) days of receipt of the final transcript. If the Producing Party is not a party to this Litigation, the party using the information must confer with the Producing Party regarding such designation consistent with this

paragraph.

d. Nothing in this Order shall bar or otherwise prevent any counsel from rendering advice to his or her client with respect to this Litigation and, in the course of rendering such advice, from relying upon his or her examination or knowledge of CONFIDENTIAL or HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS ONLY information; provided that, however, in rendering such advice and in otherwise communicating with his or her client, such counsel shall not disclose the contents or source of any CONFIDENTIAL or HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS ONLY information produced by another party to this Litigation to any person who is not authorized to receive such information under the provisions of this Order.

9. **Disclosure of Confidential Information.**

a. The attorneys of record are responsible for employing reasonable measures, consistent with this Order, to control access to, and distribution of information designated as CONFIDENTIAL pursuant to this Order.

b. Access to information designated as CONFIDENTIAL pursuant to this Order shall be limited to:

i. The Court or any other court exercising jurisdiction with respect to this Litigation, Court personnel, jurors, alternate jurors, and qualified persons (including necessary clerical personnel) who are engaged in recording, taking or transcribing testimony or argument at any deposition, hearing, trial or appeal in this Litigation;

ii. Mediators or other individuals engaged or consulted in settlement of all or part of this Litigation;

iii. Outside counsel for the parties in this Litigation (including members or associates of the counsel's firm) or members of the in-house legal department for the parties, as well as their paralegals, investigative, technical, secretarial, and clerical personnel who are engaged in assisting them in this Litigation;

iv. Outside photocopying, document storage, data processing, document review, graphic production, jury research or trial preparation services employed by the parties or their counsel to assist in this Litigation, including contract attorneys and paralegals retained to assist in this Litigation;

v. Any individual expert, consultant, or expert consulting firm retained by counsel of record in connection with this Litigation to the extent necessary for the individual expert, consultant, or expert consulting firm to prepare a written opinion, to prepare to testify, or to assist counsel of record in the prosecution or defense of this Litigation, provided, however that (a) the disclosure shall be made only to an individual expert, or to members, partners, employees or agents of an expert consulting firm as the expert consulting firm shall designate as the persons who will undertake the engagement on behalf of the expert consulting

firm (the “Designated Expert Personnel”); (b) the individual expert or Designated Expert Personnel use the information solely in connection with this Litigation; (c) the individual and/or a representative of each expert consulting firm sign the written assurance attached on Exhibit A on behalf of any Designated Expert Personnel associated with that firm; and (d) excluding any retention for this Litigation, the individual expert and each of the Designated Expert Personnel is neither a current nor former (within the past year from the date of this Order) employee of any party or any entity which directly competes with, or is a customer of or direct seller to, any of the Defendants;

vi. In addition to members of a party’s in-house legal department, no more than three directors, officers or employees of a party charged with the responsibility for making decisions dealing directly with the resolution of this Litigation with respect to that party, provided that the requirements of Paragraph 11 of this Order are met;

vii. In the case of a party who is a natural person, that natural person, provided that the requirements of Paragraph 11 of this Order are met;

viii. Any person who (a) authored or is listed as a recipient of the particular material sought to be disclosed to that person); (b) was a custodian of the document; or (c) is a witness (1) to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation and (2) who counsel in good faith believes has knowledge or awareness of the specific matters set forth in the confidential information or materials, but only as to the specific matter in which such person is referenced, discussed, mentioned, or reasonably thought to have specific knowledge; and

ix. Any other person to whom (a) the Producing Party agrees in writing or on the record in advance of the disclosure or (b) the Court directs should have access.

10. **Disclosure of Highly Confidential Information.**

a. The attorneys of record are responsible for employing reasonable measures, consistent with this Order, to control access to, and distribution of, information designated as HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS ONLY pursuant to this Order.

b. Access to information designated as HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS ONLY pursuant to this Order shall be limited to:

i. The persons identified in Paragraphs 9(b)(i)-(v), excluding members of the in-house legal department for the parties, as well as their paralegals, investigative, technical, secretarial, and clerical personnel.

ii. Any person who authored, received, or is reasonably believed in good faith to be referenced in or aware of or participated in the events referenced in the Highly Confidential Material;

iii. Any witness during the course of his or her testimony at a

deposition under the provisions of Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 26(b) in the above-captioned proceeding provided that the witness falls within 10(b)(i) or 10(b)(ii) or is an employee of the Producing Party or was an employee of the Producing Party at the time that the Highly Confidential Material was created, or the document is being used to refresh the witness' recollection, or to impeach the witness, and subject further to deposing counsel's provision of the Highly Confidential Material to counsel attending the deposition before tendering it to a witness who is not an employee of the Producing Party or an employee of the Producing Party at the time the Highly Confidential Material was created, or an author or recipient of the document so that counsel for the party whose purportedly Highly Confidential Material is proposed to be shown to the above-referenced category of witness has sufficient time to object or take such other actions permitted by this Protective Order or law; and

iv. Any other person to whom (a) the Producing Party agrees in writing or on the record in advance of the disclosure or (b) the Court directs should have access.

#### 11. **Notification of Protective Order.**

a. Counsel for the respective parties shall be responsible for obtaining, prior to disclosure and as a condition thereof, the written agreement of any person to whom any Protected Information is disclosed (other than Court personnel, outside counsel for a party and their respective direct staff) to be bound by the terms of this Order. Such written agreement shall be in the form annexed hereto as Exhibit A. The originals of the Agreement shall be maintained by counsel for the party who obtained them until the final resolution of this Litigation. Upon a showing of good cause to the Court, copies of all executed Agreements shall be provided to the counsel for the party seeking disclosure of the Agreements within thirty (30) days of a court order requiring their production.

b. In the event information designated as CONFIDENTIAL or HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS ONLY is to be shown to a witness, at deposition, hearing, trial or otherwise, the witness shall be provided with a copy of this Order at the start of the examination, and shall be advised on the record that he or she will be subject to sanction, including contempt, for violating the terms of this Order. If the witness has refused to execute Exhibit A as required by this Order, the admonition in the immediately preceding sentence made on the record shall serve as a substitute for the execution of Exhibit A and shall permit examination of the witness on documents or other materials containing CONFIDENTIAL or HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS ONLY information.

c. The prohibitions in this paragraph do not limit a Producing Party's ability to disclose its own Confidential or Highly Confidential Information.

12. A party shall not be obligated to challenge the propriety of a Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information designation at the time material so designated is produced, and a failure to do so shall not preclude a subsequent challenge thereto, provided, however, that any challenges must be made no later than the close of fact discovery. In the event a party objects to the designation of any material under this Order, the objecting party shall state

its objections in a letter to counsel for the designating party in this Litigation, identifying the challenged material by Bates number, on a document-by-document basis; provided, however, if the challenging party is challenging mass designations or designations of substantially identical types of documents, the challenging party need only provide an example of such designation and the basis for challenge, as well as an adequate description of the types of documents challenged, including the impacted ranges of Bates numbers. The notice by the party challenging the designation must also provide the specific bases for its challenge of the confidentiality designations. The interested parties thereafter shall meet and confer in good faith in an attempt to resolve any objection. If the objection is not resolved within fourteen (14) days of transmission of the initiating letter, the party objecting to the confidentiality designation may file with the Court, under the “Notice-Other” designation in ECF, a “Notice of Objection to Designation of Documents,” which identifies the disputed documents by Bates number, challenged designation and proposed designation (if any).. Following the objecting party’s filing of this Notice of Objection, the designating party shall have twelve (12) days to file its brief in support of its designation(s); the objecting party shall have seven (7) days thereafter to file its response and the designating party shall have seven (7) days thereafter to file its reply, if any. If a Notice of Objection is filed, the designating party has the burden of establishing that the designation is proper. If no brief is filed by the designating party supporting its designation, the material will be redesignated in the manner suggested by the objecting party in its Notice of Objection. If the designating party agrees to change the designation, the designating party shall send a written notice of the change in designation to all other parties. Any documents or other materials that have been designated as CONFIDENTIAL or HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS ONLY shall be treated in the manner designated until the Court rules that they should not be treated as Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information, or the designating party agrees to change the designation.

13. Nothing contained in this Order shall affect the right, if any, of any party or witness to make any other available objection or other response to discovery requests, including, without limitation, interrogatories, requests for admissions, requests for production of documents, or questions at a deposition. Nor shall this Order be construed as a waiver by any party of any right to withhold any Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information as attorney work product or based on a legally cognizable privilege, or of any right that any party may have to assert any privilege at any stage of this Litigation.

14. Within sixty (60) days after the final disposition of this Litigation, including all of the Direct Purchaser Actions, the Automobile Dealer Actions, and the End-Payor Actions, and including all appeals, a Receiving Party shall return all Confidential Information and Highly Confidential Information, including all copies of said materials, to counsel for the Producing Party or, in lieu thereof, the Receiving Party shall certify in writing that it has made reasonable efforts to destroy such materials. Counsel shall be entitled to retain pleadings and the exhibits thereto, affidavits, motions, briefs, or other papers filed with the Court, as well as any memoranda, notes, or other work product, even if they contain Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information, so long as counsel protects that information consistent with the terms of this Order. A “final disposition” shall not include an order from the MDL Court directing that each individual case be returned to the district where it originated for trial.



15. **Correction of Designation and Clawback.**

a. A Producing Party that fails to designate Discovery Material as CONFIDENTIAL or HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS ONLY at the time of production shall be entitled to make a correction to its designation. Any correction and notice of the correction shall be made in writing, accompanied by substitute copies of each item of Discovery Material, appropriately designated. A Receiving Party has ten (10) days to object to the fact of a late designation. If no objection is interposed, then within ten (10) days of receipt of the substitute copies of the Discovery Material, the Receiving Party shall destroy or return to counsel for the Producing Party all copies of such mis-designated documents. If any objection is interposed, the parties shall meet and confer and, in the absence of an agreement, the Producing Party may file a motion for relief from the Court. Until the Court rules on such a motion, the disputed Discovery Material shall be treated as CONFIDENTIAL or HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS ONLY in accordance with the designation made pursuant to paragraph 6 and this paragraph. The obligation to treat such material pursuant to a corrected designation shall run prospectively from the date of corrected designation. Individuals who reviewed the mis-designated Discovery Material prior to notice of the mis-designation by the Producing Party shall abide by the provisions of this Order with respect to all future use and disclosure of the mis-designated materials and any information contained in them.

b. If a Producing Party inadvertently produces any document, material, or other information in this Litigation that the Producing Party has a good faith basis to believe is privileged under the attorney-client or other privilege, or protected from discovery as work product (the “Privileged Material”), regardless of whether the information was designated as CONFIDENTIAL or HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS ONLY or neither of these at the time of disclosure:

i. The parties acknowledge and stipulate that the disclosure does not waive, in whole or part, the party’s claim of privilege either as to the specific documents or information disclosed therein or as to any other documents or information relating thereto or on the same or related subject matter, in this or any other federal or state proceeding.

ii. The Producing Party may, upon discovery of the inadvertent production, request the return of the Privileged Material that was inadvertently disclosed. Upon receipt of such a request, the Receiving Party (a) shall promptly return or destroy the original and all copies of the Privileged Material, (b) destroy all summaries, notes, memoranda or other documents (or portions thereof) referring to or reflecting the contents of such Privileged Material, and (c) not use such documents containing Privileged Material for any purpose absent further order of the Court. In the event the Receiving Party objects to the return of the Privileged Material, the Receiving Party may move the Court, within ten (10) days of receiving the notice from the Producing Party, for an order compelling production of the Privileged Material. All materials related to the inadvertently produced Privileged Material and any related motion to compel, shall be treated as Highly Confidential Information pursuant to this Order, unless otherwise ordered by the Court. Whether or not the Receiving Party disputes the Privileged Material’s protected status, if the Receiving Party disclosed the Privileged Material prior to a demand for its return, it shall promptly notify any persons with whom the Privileged Material

was shared and use reasonable efforts to collect and return all copies to the Producing Party and/or destroy all such copies, and certify in writing that it has exhausted its reasonable efforts to collect and return all copies.

c. In the event that a party discovers that Protected Information it has received has been disclosed to someone not authorized under the terms of this Protective Order to receive such information, counsel of record for the party responsible for the unauthorized disclosure shall immediately give notice to counsel of record for the party who designated the information as CONFIDENTIAL or HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS ONLY. If a party fails to treat documents designated as CONFIDENTIAL or HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS ONLY in the manner provided herein, that party will immediately take such steps as are necessary to have such items placed under seal and/or restored to their confidential status. Depending upon the circumstances, nothing contained herein shall limit the right of the Producing Party or designating party to seek relief against the party responsible for such disclosure.

16. This Order shall not apply to documents, materials, or information that are publicly available without a breach of the terms of this Order or that are obtained independently by the Receiving Party from a person lawfully in possession of those documents, materials, or information.

17. A party's compliance with the terms of this Order shall not operate as an admission that any particular document is or is not (a) confidential, (b) privileged, or (c) admissible in evidence at trial.

18. If any Receiving Party receives a subpoena from a person not a party to this Litigation for documents obtained from a Producing Party subject to this Order, the person subpoenaed must inform the subpoena's issuer of this Order, provide the subpoena's issuer with the copy of the Order, and give the Producing Party and, if different, the designating party, notice of the subpoena within the earlier of three (3) business days after its receipt of the subpoena or before it produces any documents in response to the subpoena, so that the Producing Party and, if different, the designating party, have an opportunity to object and/or file a motion to quash the subpoena or other similar filing prior to the production of any responsive material. In the absence of an objection or motion to quash the subpoena, or other similar filing, by the Producing Party or, if different, the designating party, or further court order, the party receiving the subpoena may produce documents, materials, or other information responsive to the subpoena.

19. This Order shall apply to non-parties who provide discovery, by deposition, production of documents, or otherwise, in this Litigation, if that non-party requests the protection of this Order as to its Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information and complies with the provisions of this Order. A non-party who provides discovery in this Litigation, requests the protection of this Order, and complies with its terms will be deemed a Producing Party. A party taking discovery from a non-party must provide the non-party with a copy of this Protective Order when it first serves the non-party with a subpoena or other discovery request.



20. Upon final disposition of this Litigation, the provisions of this Order shall continue to be binding. This Court expressly retains jurisdiction over this Litigation for enforcement of the provisions of this Order following the final disposition of this Litigation.

21. Until this Order is entered by the Court, any Discovery Material designated as CONFIDENTIAL or HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS ONLY that is produced in this Litigation shall be protected from disclosure pursuant to the terms of this Order as if entered by the Court. If any actions subject to this Order are transferred to another Court, the terms of this Order shall remain in full force and effect unless modified by written agreement of all parties or order of the Court.

22. This Order is binding on all parties to this Litigation and on all non-parties who have been served with a copy of this Order, and shall remain in force and effect until modified, superseded, or terminated by consent of the parties or by order of the Court. This Order shall not prevent a party from applying to the Court for relief therefrom, or from applying to the Court for further or additional protective orders, or from agreeing to modifications of this Order, subject to approval of the Court.

23. Nothing in this Order shall prevent a Producing Party or, if different, designating party from seeking further, greater, or lesser protection with respect to the use of any Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information, or seeking to prevent Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information from being provided to the persons described in Paragraphs 9 and 10 of this Order.

24. The terms of this Order shall be binding upon all current and future parties to this Litigation and their counsel; any party appearing in the case following entry of this Order shall be deemed to have joined the case subject to its provisions. Within ten (10) days of (i) entry of an appearance by a new party to this Litigation, or (ii) notification of the filing in this District of a complaint that arises out of the same facts alleged in the Plaintiffs' Complaints, counsel for the Plaintiffs (in the case of a new party plaintiff) or counsel for the Defendants (in the case of a new party defendant) shall serve a copy of this Order on the new party's counsel who have filed an appearance.

25. Nothing herein shall be construed as a determination by the Court, or as a consent or waiver by any foreign parent or affiliate of any party, that such a foreign parent or affiliate of any party is subject to personal jurisdiction in this Court or that discovery as to such foreign parent or affiliate of any party shall proceed pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

26. All time periods set forth in this Order shall be calculated according to Rule 6 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, as then in effect.

Dated: July 10, 2012

IT IS SO ORDERED:

s/Marianne O. Battani  
Hon. Marianne O. Battani  
United States District Judge

**EXHIBIT A**

On behalf of \_\_\_\_\_ [NAME OF ORGANIZATION] I, \_\_\_\_\_ [NAME OF INDIVIDUAL] hereby certify (i) my understanding that Discovery Material containing Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information is being provided or otherwise disclosed to me pursuant to the terms and restrictions of the Stipulation and Protective Order Governing the Production and Exchange of Confidential Information (“Order”) entered in *In re Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation*, Master File No. 12-MD-2311, United States District Court, Eastern District of Michigan and (ii) that I have received and read the Order; and (iii) that I will provide a copy of and explain the terms of this Order to any personnel at \_\_\_\_\_ [NAME OF ORGANIZATION] who receive Discovery Material containing Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information or who otherwise receive Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information. I understand the terms of the Order, I agree to be fully bound by the Order, and I hereby submit to the jurisdiction of the Court for purposes of enforcement of the Order. I understand that violation of the Order may be punishable by contempt of Court.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

DECLARATION OF CUSTODIAN  
OF RECORDS TO  
ACCOMPANY COPIES OF RECORDS

STATE OF \_\_\_\_\_ ) In Re: AUTOMOTIVE PARTS ANTITRUST LITIGATION  
 ) Master File No. U.S. D. C. Eastern District of Michigan,  
COUNTY OF \_\_\_\_\_ ) Southern Div.: 12-md-02311 (In Re: Automotive Parts  
Antitrust Litigation)  
Honorable Marianne O. Battani  
All Wire Harness Actions 2:12-cv-00100

I, \_\_\_\_\_, depose and say as follows:

Initial: \_\_\_\_\_ **1.** I am the duly authorized Records Custodian for  
(\_\_\_\_\_) and have the authority to certify the Records  
attached hereto, if any, and I certify as follows:

\_\_\_\_\_ **2.** The copies or originals of the Records attached to this Declaration are  
true and correct, and include all data, electronically-stored documents  
and other documents and information responsive to the Subpoena  
Duces Tecum, dated (\_\_\_\_); **and**

\_\_\_\_\_ **3.** That the Records were prepared by the personnel of this business, or  
persons acting under their control, in the ordinary course of business at  
or near the time of the act, condition or event.

**OR**

\_\_\_\_\_ **4.** (\_\_\_\_\_) has none of the Records described in paragraph  
**2** above.

\_\_\_\_\_ A. Responsive Records were destroyed \_\_\_\_\_(date) in accord with  
our document retention policy. Records are discarded after \_\_\_\_ years.

\_\_\_\_\_ B. No responsive documents exist.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2015.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Print Name